

**DOI 10.36074/logos-06.02.2026.057**

## **THE IMPACT OF INDIVIDUALIZED PHYSICAL THERAPY ON THE RESTORATION OF LOWER LIMB FUNCTION FOLLOWING ARTHROSCOPIC KNEE SURGERY**

**Oleksandr V. Dovbysh<sup>1</sup>, Daria Yu. Dovbysh<sup>2</sup>, Olexandr O. Cherepok<sup>3</sup>**

---

**1.** Student, Medical Faculty No. 3

*Zaporizhzhia State Medical and Pharmaceutical University, UKRAINE*

**2.** Student, Medical Faculty No. 3

*Zaporizhzhia State Medical and Pharmaceutical University, UKRAINE*

**3.** MD, PhD, Associate Professor of the Department of Physical Rehabilitation, Sports Medicine, Physical Education and Health

*Zaporizhzhia State Medical and Pharmaceutical University, UKRAINE*

**ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4722-5181**

---

Modern orthopedic science no longer views knee arthroscopy as the final point of treatment. Instead, it is recognized as a critical "entry stage" of a complex therapeutic journey. Research indicates that approximately 60–70% of the long-term success of surgical intervention is determined by the quality of subsequent physical therapy. Despite the minimally invasive nature of arthroscopy, the surgical procedure itself triggers a complex cascade of pathophysiological reactions, including inflammatory cytokine release and structural disruption, which demand profound personalization of the rehabilitation process.

The Challenge of Arthrogenic Muscle Inhibition.

A fundamental barrier in the early postoperative period is arthrogenic muscle inhibition. As convincingly demonstrated in the seminal study by Rice & McNair [10], arthrogenic muscle inhibition is a direct result of altered afferent signaling from the operated joint. This sensory disruption leads to the reflexive inhibition of motoneurons innervating the quadriceps femoris.

Neural Mechanisms: The inhibition occurs at both spinal and supraspinal levels. The central nervous system essentially "blocks" muscle activation to protect the joint, but this leads to rapid atrophy and functional deficit.

Individualized Solutions: Personalizing therapy in this context requires breaking the inhibitory cycle. This is achieved through a combination of

cryotherapy (to reduce afferent signal noise), neuromuscular electrical stimulation to maintain muscle fiber recruitment, and specific activation exercises that bypass the "protective" neural block.

Criteria-Based Progression vs. Chronological Timelines.

The recovery process must be governed by strict criteria-based milestones rather than arbitrary calendar dates. According to the comprehensive clinical guidelines by Logerstedt et al. [9], progression through rehabilitation phases should depend on objective biomarkers.

Clinical Metrics: Load progression is strictly dependent on the visual analog scale for pain control, the absence of reactive effusion (swelling), and the restoration of basic quadriceps control (the ability to perform a superior patellar glide).

Load Management: Buckthorpe et al. [2] emphasize that load management is the "gold standard" of contemporary rehabilitation. For patients with comorbidities—such as obesity or cardiovascular disease—this process requires even more meticulous adaptation. In patients with a high body mass index, standard axial loading too early can lead to articular cartilage damage. Therefore, individualized programs prioritize open-kinetic chain exercises in the initial phases to safely build muscle volume without excessive compressive stress on the surgical site.

Proprioception and the Kinetic Chain Integrity.

The loss of proprioception or "joint position sense" is a leading factor in the development of post-traumatic osteoarthritis. Following arthroscopy, the patient often loses the precision of neuromuscular control. Higgins et al. [7] emphasize that proprioceptive deficits lead to dangerous compensatory movement strategies.

Personalized physical therapy must incorporate balance and coordination training that progresses in difficulty based on joint stability. It is vital to perceive the knee as a single link in a holistic kinetic chain. As noted by Culvenor et al. [3], knee rehabilitation is destined to fail without correcting the function of the hip and foot. Weakness in the hip abductors often results in excessive valgus stress on the knee, which can negate the structural benefits of the surgical reconstruction.

Psychological Factors and Objective Functional Control.

Success is deeply intertwined with psychological readiness. Ardern et al. [1] identify kinesiophobia (fear of movement) as an independent predictor of poor functional outcomes, even when the joint is anatomically perfect. Physical therapists must utilize cognitive-behavioral strategies and graded exposure to load to rebuild the patient's confidence.

Objective monitoring is essential. Filbay & Grindem [4] advocate for the use of evidence-based recommendations to prevent post-traumatic osteoarthritis. For

### ABSCHNITT 23.

#### MEDIZINISCHE WISSENSCHAFTEN UND GESUNDHEITSWESEN

patients recovering from ACL reconstruction, achieving muscle strength symmetry is paramount. Research by Grindem et al. [6] demonstrated that delaying the return to sport until 9 months post-op and achieving 90% quadriceps strength symmetry reduces the risk of reinjury by 84%. Furthermore, Kyritsis et al. [8] established that patients who fail to meet six key clinical discharge criteria—including strength and hop tests—face a four-fold increase in the risk of graft rupture.

Neuromotor Learning and Neuroplasticity.

In the final stages of rehabilitation, the focus shifts to motor skill acquisition and the automation of movement patterns. Gokeler et al. [5] highlight the importance of an external focus of attention during exercises. For instance, rather than focusing on the knee's position (internal focus), the patient is tasked with interacting with environmental objects (external focus).

This approach stimulates neuroplasticity and reduces the cognitive load on the joint during real-world activities. Individualization at this stage involves creating "real-life" scenarios that mimic the patient's specific daily activities, professional demands, and athletic goals.

**Conclusion.** Individualized physical therapy after knee arthroscopy is a sophisticated system for managing the biological adaptation of tissues. It is built upon a foundation of understanding neurophysiological muscle inhibition [10], adhering to evidence-based progression criteria [9], managing mechanical stress [2], and restoring the integrity of the entire kinetic chain [3]. Only an integrated approach that accounts for comorbid backgrounds, psychological readiness, and objective biomechanical data can lead to true functional recovery and long-term joint stability in the modern world.

#### REFERENCES:

- [1] Ardern, C. L., et al. (2016). 2016 Consensus statement on return to sport from the First World Congress in Sports Physical Therapy. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(14), 853–864. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096278>
- [2] Buckthorpe, M., et al. (2019). Recommendations for optimal Quadriceps strengthening in the rehabilitation of anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Sports Medicine*, 49(7), 1017–1035. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-019-01104-x>
- [3] Culvenor, A. G., et al. (2019). Rehabilitation After ACL Reconstruction: Recent Advances, Current Challenges, and Future Directions. *Current Reviews in Musculoskeletal Medicine*, 12(4), 514–523. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12178-019-09581-y>
- [4] Filbay, S. R., & Grindem, H. (2019). Evidence-based rehabilitation and return to sport threshold: 10 key elements for ACL reconstruction. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology*, 33(1), 32–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.berh.2019.01.012>
- [5] Gokeler, A., et al. (2017). Feedback Techniques to Target Functional Outcomes in ACL Rehabilitation: A Systematic Review. *Sports Medicine*, 47(3), 529–545. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0630-x>

- [6] Grindem, H., et al. (2016). Simple decision rules can reduce reinjury risk by 84% after ACL reconstruction: the Delaware-Oslo ACL cohort study. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(13), 804–808. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096031>
- [7] Higgins, R. W., et al. (2020). Proprioceptive Training in Knee Rehabilitation: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 29(4), 452–463. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.2019-0012>
- [8] Kyritsis, P., et al. (2016). Likelihood of ACL graft rupture: not meeting six clinical discharge criteria is associated with a four times greater risk of rupture. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 50(15), 946–951. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095908>
- [9] Logerstedt, D. S., et al. (2018). Knee Pain and Mobility Impairments: Meniscal and Articular Cartilage Lesions Revision 2018. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 48(2), A1–A50. <https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2018.0301>
- [10] Rice, D. A., & McNair, P. J. (2010). Quadriceps Arthrogenic Muscle Inhibition: Neural Mechanisms and Treatment Perspectives. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*, 40(3), 250–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2009.10.001>

